

Sonova Quark

Brought to you by Steven Vincent Johnson and Darlene P. Coltrain, mailing address: 6666 Odana Rd. Madison WI 53719. Contact by Mail: Steve: Orionworks@charter.net, Darlene: dpcoltrain@gmail.com. All material is copyright © by Steven Vincent Johnson and Darlene P. Coltrain, unless otherwise specified. Sonova Quark is brought to you by OrionWorks. Internal layout is brought to you by Affinity Publisher. Printing done on a HP Color Laserjet Pro MFP M277dw. Most color covers were created using CorelDraw Graphics suite and printed on a Cannon PRO-100 Photo Inkjet printer. This edition was created for Turbo Charged Party Animal

These Are The Days, My Friend

It is challenging to put into words what we have been witnessing lately in our nation's political arena. The lyrics to an old Kingston Trio song comes to mind:

*They're rioting in Iran,
They're starving in Sudan.*

*There's cyclones in Pakistan,
and Trump wants Greenland...*

Yes, I know. I bastardized the lyrics, badly. All us old folks know how the actual lyrics go.

Trump's health is failing along with cognitive decline. It astonishes me how His Orange-ness remains propped up by his team of opportunistic cronies. Anyone who takes the time to analyze videos of Trump's speech patterns recorded decades ago when he was in his prime realize his communication skills have deteriorated to a point strongly signaling approaching dementia - if he isn't already there in spades. Keep in mind, we, serfs, only get to see Trump after his caretakers prep him with god only knows what kind of cocktails that are flowing through his bloodstream. It's guaranteed to get worse. Then what...

I think Trump knows his days are numbered. Therefore... grab everything in sight before death puts a damper on the Easter egg hunt. In a rare moment of cognitive clarity I recall Trump, almost childlike, confessed to a reporter that he didn't think that he, and I'm paraphrasing his actual words, would not be allowed to buy a corner of real estate in heaven. He's left to play the only game he knows, over and over - **all your bases are belong to us.**

Some good energy news out of France! Statement:

France's WEST (Tungsten Environment in Steady-state Tokamak) reactor achieved a major nuclear fusion breakthrough by sustaining superheated plasma at around 90 million°F (50 million°C) for a record-breaking 22 minutes and 17 seconds, a significant step towards harnessing clean, limitless fusion energy.

This is a promising accomplishment! I was genuinely surprised. Granted, hot fusion still needs to demonstrate continuous, self-sustaining operation. It must generate more energy than consumed.. a whole LOT more. But this breakthrough could go a long way toward silencing skeptics (myself included) and rekindling hope that this decades-long pursuit might finally bear fruit.

It should surprise no one that I continue to place hope on another, more controversial approach: the work going on over at Brilliant Light Power (BLP). I think it possible they might make it to the finish line first. What many may not realize about this alleged technology is its ability to decentralize power. Unlike hot fusion - which would require massive, expensive mega structures - the BLP model (if proven) could enable smaller, more distributed energy units. Imagine home-sized generators, commercial buildings off-grid, or even vehicles powered independently. A truly redundant energy web. No single-point-of-failure infrastructure vulnerable to natural disaster, cyber-attack, or war.

But a firm thumbs up to France! Keep going! I'm cheering you on from across the pond! This also goes to a list of maturing alternate energy technologies, most which are eco-friendly. As **Jeanne** has already pointed out in **Turbo #474**, a few have become economically advantageous to invest in over traditional fossil-fuels.

Jeanne: As for BLP's funding, I wouldn't worry too much about **Brilliant Light Power** sucking up precious development money away from other renewable energy resources and technology. BLP hasn't asked for government assistance. Individuals like Peter Zimmerman, who was once a science adviser for *Senator* Joe Biden made sure of that. BLP research is funded mostly by angel investors with wet dreams of becoming the next generation of oligarchs. I fear far greater danger coming from Trump's ghastly ignorant attempts to de-fund alternate energy projects. We can agree on that. Maybe we can capture him with a butterfly net. "What an interesting collection of flies you have there."



Death Squad Behavior

The following analysis was assembled by:

Yannick Veilleux-Lepage, PhD
Associate Professor
at the Royal Military College of Canada

Yannick had the following to say about the horrible murder of Renne Nicole Good. It was posted out on Facebook:

I hold a research grant focused on vehicle ramming attacks, and I curate what I believe is the largest database of these incidents, more than 500 cases worldwide since 1987.

As a result, I have watched more video footage of vehicle ramming attacks than most people ever will.

That is why I am going to be direct about what the available video shows in the killing of Renee Nicole Good in Minneapolis on January 7, 2026.

Based on the publicly available footage described by multiple outlets, I do not see indicators consistent with a deliberate vehicle ramming attempt.

When authorities claim "she tried to run over an officer," they are not just offering an interpretation. They are trying to lock in a narrative that justifies lethal force after the fact.

What I see, and what is being described in reporting based on video review, looks like ambiguity and escalation, not a textbook ramming attempt. Reports indicate the agent was not in the vehicle's direct path when shots were fired, and that at least some shots were fired from the side as the vehicle moved away.

This matters because I know what ramming attempts look like when they



are real.

Across hundreds of cases, intentional vehicle ramming attacks tend to leave a signature in the footage.

- A purposeful approach line toward a person.
- Commitment to that line.
- Steering corrections that track a target.
- Acceleration and follow through.

Those indicators are the difference between "the vehicle moved" and "the vehicle was used as the weapon."

When the state kills first and invents the threat afterward, it is not policing, it is death squad behavior.



Commentary

Steve Swartz: I hope you continue receiving all the attention and professional assistance you may desire to work through those cognitive conundrums. Having experienced some disquieting aberrations of my own, wondering if it's just old age, or something else... I'm wishing you a continued productive journey.

I, too, enjoy and often feel personally challenged in some of our conversations. It's stimulating when I'm confronted with alternate explanations and occasional disagreements. I'm not interested in trolling about for another debate party. Confronting different POVs means having another opportunity to reassess the health of my opinions and long-held beliefs.

Like you, I use Chat-GTP. In fact, I use it a lot. I've learned that Chat mirrors back my personal predilections in incredibly subtle and sophisticated ways. This can be both encouraging and self-affirming - while at other times, deadly. Recently, Chat or some other AI system gave comfort and encouragement to a person who was thinking of committing suicide. The person eventually did so, with AI's blessing. When the details of his suicide hit the news, it created outrage over what-the-fuck is AI doing to our society. AI's programming algorithms should have been sophisticated enough to detect when a person needs immediate help or an intervention rather than continued encouragement to pursue one's personal dreams. Presumably AI builders, including Chat's developers, are working to resolve these glaring algorithmic pitfalls. ...But I'm getting off-topic.

Regarding the on-going BLP saga:

I made sure to give Chat all the information I had managed to accumulate on the subject of BLP - including BLP's pesky little hydrinos. This included, at one point, giving Chat total access to the text from a book authored by Brett Holverstott who worked at Brilliant Light Power and personally with Dr. Randall Mills. I scanned each page, converting the entire text of the book into a file uploaded to Chat. Many, MANY hours were spent! Now, me mentioning this doesn't automatically imply that all the info I gave Chat proves beyond a doubt that BLP is fool proof. OTOH, I think my efforts in assisting Chat might be a tad more informed that what much of the general public has been getting, and continues to get on the same subject.

Those who are new to the BLP saga and have become curious about the details were to ask Chat for it's assess-

ment, Chat is likely to approach the subject from a conservative perspective, thus returning to the inquirer a negative and discouraging assessment. Chat's assessment would be based on the fact that the vast majority of the information currently out in the public domain remains negative. This includes Wikipedia, assembled by self-appointed editors who will naturally approach all controversial subject material from a conservative perspective. It's not surprising that Wikipedia parrots the conclusions of the majority. I recommend reading the installment.

You expressed puzzlement over why I don't seem to be as enthusiastic or interested in discussing other forms of alternative energy, like solar voltaic, wind, green hydrogen, battery breakthroughs, tidal energy, etc... Well... Truth be told, I've always been a sucker for the underdog. Back in the early 90s, when I first stumbled across the work of BLP I had a sense that it had the potential of becoming a long saga. It didn't take me long to realize it would be important for me to keep tabs on their triumphs and failures. Over the decades, their story, has not failed me. Their story reveals many things. It's not just about the progress of science, or maybe lack of. It includes accounts about the politics of our time and how our institutions both within the government and scientific circles. It's about whose in power, and who wants to remain in power.

As for other forms of alternate energy, *I want ALL of them to succeed!* (I hope **Jeanne** is reading this!) The sooner, the better. As for me, I personally chose to put a lot of my eggs in BLP's basket because I knew very few would. I want BLP to have the same chances to succeed as all the other startups, many of which are now actually becoming economical. Yay!!!! BLP has been forced to travel a very hard road. The fact that they are still in existence suggests to me that there is something there, regardless of what the accepted establishment sez, including the conservative approach that Wikipedia continues to take.

I'll end repeating something I've repeated many times now: *BLP now has samples of hydrinos embedded in a substrate that can be shipped to anyone for independent analysis*, with the stipulation that they publish the results of their independent analysis. Part of this reasoning, I suspect, is so that BLP can make sure they performed a proper analysis... or that they don't end up sitting on what they found.

Jeanne: Indeed, the Missoula Glacial Lake Ice Age discharge would have been a fascinating event to witness first-hand - as long as I was witnessing it from a safe distance. There very well may have been humans who witnessed the events - and hopefully from a safe distance.

There exists mythological lore inferring the Missoula event

occurred around the same period time that a prior advanced civilization had existed. Of course, I'm talking about the legends of Atlantis which Plato wrote about in his dialogues. Putting aside whether such legends hold a kernel of truth or not, what I found interesting is trying to envision what kind of a global environment such a potentially technologically advanced civilization living at that time period might have had to deal with. Such a civilization would have been in the midst of a global Ice Age where sea levels were much lower than where they currently are. Those who are curious about delving more deeply into such legends can easily find numerous books and You-Tube installments that discuss some of the intriguing evidence. The evidence is sprinkled about globally. Perhaps there is a kernel of truth to such legends... or not. But that is a conversation for another day.

I apologize if you felt I had inferred that you may have in been partly responsible for outing Quinn during a time when Quinn may not have been particularly keen in being outed in such a manner. I bring this matter up because of a private conversation you and I shared, a conversation that occurred decades ago focusing on Quinn's Michael material. Perhaps you don't remember. It was a brief dialogue. I mentioned that I was sure Quinn was one of the Michael channels. My recollection of your response included a *cautious* smile and no comment. Regardless of whether the following is an accurate interpretation or not, I interpreted your actions as implying Quinn was not hot on the idea of advertising her personal medium-ship to her public, and therefore you were going to stay prudently mum on the subject. I certainly understood such concerns, and ceased pressing you on the matter. Feel free to correct me if you have a different perspective to the account, assuming you still remember the interaction.

But there's more! I attended a SF convention where GoH Quinn held a talk on her horror stories. At one point someone asked Quinn about her Michael books. Quinn gave a perfunctory response without getting into the details. She seemed eager to get back to the subject of horror. Then, another person piped up wanting to discuss the Michael material. Again, Quinn gave additional perfunctory responses. Eventually, Quinn quipped something to the audience to the effect of, and I'm paraphrasing her actual words "...why are we suddenly talking about the Michael stuff? Wouldn't it be more fun *for the majority of the audience* if we continued discussing horror story questions?" Alas, more Michael inquiries were launched out from the audience, and Quinn continued to answer them. But several in the audience got up and left. Were they leaving because Quinn was veering into a woo-woo subject they had no interest in being exposed to? I'll never know. But if I were in Quinn's shoes, watching those members leave, I

think I might have been wondering if I had just lost some potential horror story sales. So, yeah. I could see why she would not have been inclined to advertise her personal involvement in such activities.

Changing the subject - concerning my use of the word "**apparently**", I went back as far as April 2025 to find out how often I used that particular word in **TURBO**.

April	2026:	<none>
May	2026:	<none>
June	2026:	<none>

July	2025:	3 times
-------------	--------------	---------

Page 2: *Apparently*, when microwave-generated photons from a household magnetron interact with free electrons, an extraordinary and unexpected quantum effect occurs.

Page 7: *Apparently*, I stayed active in HSG long enough to have earned a certain degree of respect – perhaps for trying to fairly consider both sides of the debate ..Though, I suppose, that is open to debate.

Page 18: *Apparently*[,] Park had given his contact a call

August	2025:	1 time
---------------	--------------	--------

Page 7: *Apparently*, my ears could pick up the vibrations but my brain could not translate the frequencies into a specific note belonging on the scale.

September	2025:	<none>
October	2025	<none>

Eulogy on Yarbro

November	2025:	1 time
-----------------	--------------	--------

Apparently, it's one of the reasons we are being observed.

December	2025:	<none>
-----------------	--------------	--------

By my count, within the last 9 months, I used the word a total of five times, sans the current **TURBO** installment. Of course, I've now completely blasted that skimpy count outside somewhere beyond the orbit of Neptune.

"...Ground Control to Major Tom..."

I will duplicate the content of the last paragraph you wrote to me from **TURBO** December. My aim is to hopefully reduce any potential misunderstanding or misinterpretations between seems to be different perspectives. You wrote:

Steve, your frequent use of the word "apparently" does a lot of work and makes a lot of assumptions. In places where it seems to me that you are offering a hypothesis or making guesses, you say "apparently," which to me means "obvious and clearly understood," when it is neither.

Correct me if I have misinterpreted you, but are you saying my use of the adverb "apparently" is being used in the way where others would more accurately have used:

"obvious and clearly understood" ... ?

A Google search on the adverb comes back with:

"...as far as one knows or can see."

Google's definition is a very different interpretation. It's also in-sync with my personal interpretation. I use the adverb to state to others the fact that what might *seem to me* to be an accurate representation, I'm doing my best to inform the reader that I realize and respect the fact that it may not be so "*obvious and clearly understood*" as perceived by others. It was the best adverb that I could come up with that attempts to acknowledge and respect the perspectives of skeptics.

Your statement ends with the phrase:

"...when it is neither."

It's a bold ending... in a sense, a subtle confrontation.

It's also a blanket statement. What specific issues or subject material are you referring to that seems to concern you? I don't know. You haven't pointed me to anything specific. I'm unable to respond to the best of my ability when I don't know what specifically concerns you.

FWIW, I'll add an addendum concerning my use of the word "apparent" in my July 2025 installment:

July	2025:
-------------	--------------

Page 2: *Apparently*, when microwave-generated photons from a household magnetron interact with free electrons, an extraordinary and unexpected quantum effect occurs.

Again, I cannot stress how extraordinary it is for BLP to have made such an audacious claim concerning an observed and recorded "quantum effect". (I've looked at some of the video recorded tests. It's intriguing, and weird stuff!) The evidence flies in the face of our current understanding of Quantum Mechanics. **If proven to be accurate**, it implies we are on the verge of discovering the equivalent of a powerful Star Trek like **SPACE DRIVE** that would not only be economically viable, it would literally open the entire population of the planet up to the ability to "space drive" to any portion of our solar system within hours, days, or weeks. Yeah, sure, It might take a month or so to visit Pluto, but maybe less. Travel time would depend on how many G forces a person would be willing to withstand during the acceleration process followed by an equivalent deceleration process at the halfway point. Simply maintaining a G force of 1 (the gravity force at the surface of Earth) while crossing space, planet to planet could easily allow access to all the inner solar system planets in the same amount of time as a leisurely cruise across the Atlantic ocean. A trip to the moon would take hours.

Alas, no FTL warp drive discovered yet... I wish...

Scott: I enjoy being able to generate the possibility of writing or painting subject material perceived to be different or unexpected. I hope it gives others an opportunity of ponder ramifications they had not considered. FWIW, certain meta-physical lore I've run across tends to describe Lovecraftian Universes as having actually occurred, but... having occurred - *A long time ago in a Galaxy far, far away*. Actually, more accurately stated as - *A long time ago in OUR galaxy*.

Andy: Ah, yes, we see Spielberg is at it again. I, too, keep seeing the code-word "**disclosure**" as the conjectured title for the anticipated film. Of course, "*disclosure*" implies a number of intriguing plot lines. Spielberg could have a lot of fun with this subject material. U-Tube has been flooded with numerous preview fragments. Who knows which have been extracted out of the film and which have nothing to do with it other than to throw out bait. I decided to stay away from exposing myself to them. I'd rather be surprised when I go see Spieberg's latest film on the big screen.

Don't know if you watched this, but In one interview I watched Spielberg expressing the idea that maybe ETs are not extraterrestrial aliens coming from planets afar, but are actually earthlings arriving from our future. Which begs the question: Why have they come back to our time line? For what purpose? It makes me think of the well received 2016 film, *Arrival*, directed by Denis Villeneuve, starring Amy Adams as the linguist who cracks the language barrier of the visitors. Surely Spielberg has viewed it. I wouldn't be surprised if the plot-line in Villeneuve's film has influenced


Spielberg in unpredictable ways.

Depending on how curious and/or adventurous you might be feeling, go check out an individual you can easily access out on U-Tube, an individual who goes by the name of Darryl Anka. For more than 40 years, Anka claims to have been channeling an ET who goes by the name of Bashar. Search U-Tube for the name: "Bashar".

I brought that name up because decades ago, **Kim and Kathi**, who are accomplished book buyers and sellers in their own right, came across a book that they generously gifted to me, a book that was published around 1995. It is titled **"Beyond My Wildest Dreams"**. The contents contain excerpts of the extensive diary of a woman, Kim Carlsberg, who had been experiencing the classic alien abduction scenario in her sleep. Carlsberg, sought help from many sources in an attempt to understand why she was repeatedly having these disquieting experiences at night. Eventually, Kim came into contact with a Darryl Anka who channels an entity by the name of **Bashar**. Kim and Darryl became friends, and Darryl became the illustrator for her abduction experiences, as portrayed in her book.

If one is curious about acquiring one of the more interesting and fleshed-out perspectives on the abduction experience, it's a decent book to gnaw on.

The book's content mirrors much of the research material from the famous Pulitzer Prize winner, psychiatrist, John E. Mack. Mack interviewed many client experiencers. ("experiencers" - It's what abductees often call themselves.) Mack eventually hypothesized his speculations concerning the abduction phenomenon, all based on the experi-



ences his clients revealed to him. Mack promptly got into trouble with his professional peers when they learned what he was investigating. I gather his peers were concerned he had gone off the deep end, studying what they considered to be woo-woo stuff - while probably also fearing nasty backlashes to their professional standards. Fortunately, Mack survived the inquisition. He received something akin to a tepid but cautious go-ahead with his continued research. Perhaps they were not interested in stirring up the pot any more than they had already done, cuz it did hit the news in certain social sectors. Unfortunately, in 2004 Mack succumbed to a car crash while visiting London attending a lecture at a T. E. Lawrence Society-sponsored conference. A drunk driver accidentally rammed into him, killing him, thus putting a damper on further research. Makes me wonder if John Mack, an American, had habitually looked the wrong way when crossing a London street.

I've rambled on long enuf. Let me end my essay by saying I find Bashar to be a fascinating psychological trip down a fascinating and highly productive rabbit hole. It was quite the privilege to jump down it. Maybe others might, enjoy an equivalent trip down their own rabbit hole. Of course... Your mileage may vary.

Go ask Alice.

Carrie: Indeed! To our absolute surprise Charm seems to have come out of her spiral towards death in incredible flying colors. Her recovery was totally unexpected. We estimate Charm, our rescue, apparently abandoned at a car stop out in the deserts of Idaho, is approximately 15 years old. She is now partially blind and partially deaf. It's interesting watching her negotiate her way around the house. Does a good job of it, too - including finding and using the basement cat box. We are more than happy to continue our devoted servitude duties for as long as Charm feels it's worth it to be constantly devoted-on

Pat: Thank you for the complement. I used to debate rabidly, back in the 90's when my TURBO handle was called **"The Little Quark That Thought It Could"**. I recall really going at it with the late **John Peacock** (Peacot??? Dang! I can't remember the true spelling!) on the subject concerning the validity (or lack-of) of the UFO phenomenon. To John's credit I think we both eventually came away from our back-and-forth tussles with a shared sense of amusement. My conversations with John taught me an important lesson - the realization of debating others with a goal of dismissing or tearing the beliefs and conclusions of others to shreds accomplishes nothing.

Years later John invited Darlene and me to attend as duo Artist Guest of Honors at DisCon, the Washington DC. based

SF Convention. I still display a beautiful ornamental telescope we received as a gift from the convention committee. To this day it remains displayed on a shelf in our living room. John was candid in some of our conversations when we had been invited over to their home for dinner after the convention ended. Some of that discussion involved his participation in TURBO. John confessed there were things he said that he wished he hadn't.

Nuff sed...

Wherever you are, John, I hope you are continuing your scholarly explorations, no matter what reality you might be currently investigating

Jim / Jamie: It does not seem to be my style to respond to the majority of TURBO participants. I tend to pick out a few individuals, most likely, individuals that I have known for years, and then do my best to give them their money's worth. I also tend exhaust myself after assembling my own eccentric-laden essays... so, no energy left no respond to anyone. Perhaps, it's a tad self-absorbed of me. Guilty as charged.

As to your response, it was quick and terse. There's an art to making terse quickie-complements. You craft it well.

Luke: I wholeheartedly agree!

Jeannie B: You have good reason to tread carefully when it comes to trusting one's well-being to an AI algorithm. See my comments to Steve Swartz, third paragraph.

Regarding my eccentric speculations on the Steam Punk parallel reality primary energy source... The idea came to be in an abrupt flash of what I would describe as a *personal realization*. It came out of nowhere... literally, nowhere. I don't summon them. They summon me. I have no idea if there is any truth... ANY TRUTH... to these so-called *realizations*. Eventually, I began to give myself permission to simply let these *realizations* say what they have to say.. and then, be done with it. For me, it's not a matter of whether the information is true, or not. It's more a matter of whether the *realization* might be useful or helpful - to me - and perhaps to others as well.

I've been meaning to let you in on another *realization* that randomly hit me a while back. I couldn't find what felt like an appropriate time to let you in on it, until now:

IMHO, you are very good at tapping into multiple parallel realities, many of which you actively have counterparts of your other "selves" living in. Those counterparts are con-

stantly feeding you their own experiences of "daily life". Long ago you acquired the skill of interpreting those parallel oriented experiences into concepts that make sense to you within the context of your currently experienced parallel reality. You are also constantly producing your own experiences that your other counterpart "selves" are occasionally pickup. In other life experiences your skills had been tapped, often by powerful, self-serving individuals and government bodies in order to help form policy. You may have felt a bit restricted in some of those life experiences. You have found greater freedom in tapping into those alternate realities in your current life. The short stories and poems you produce are often the result of the talent you learned long ago. It is a gift many others are beginning to learn how to harness as well - in the coming centuries.

You travel a lot.

"Thinking is the best way to travel" - The Moody Blues

PS: You need not believe that any of this woo woo stuff might have actually happened - to you. I possess no proof suggesting such conjecture is true. OTOH, it can be fun to simply imagine, or conjecture, such things as happened.

As suggested to Andy: Go ask Alice.



Heather Cox Richardson
American Historian



One of the best *American History* scholars I've run across, as many others have done. Heather helps us understand and comprehend what Trump and his cronies are attempting to do to the United States constitution and to the rule of law.

With wisdom and insight that only an American historian can offer, Heather offers us insight and pathways back towards the light based on documented historical facts that come out of our nation's history and what those historical accounts taught us about ourselves. She offers sound advice concerning what to do about the current situation we now find ourselves facing, and what to avoid.



The Write Hemisphere

A Spin-off of a Different Kind

Should you choose to bring into your collective parallel reality the belief that Brilliant Light Power's claim about hydrinos is valid science, one potential spin-off you would have a choice to manifest is the ability to engineer practical methods for neutralizing radioactive material, specifically through the construction of hydrino-frequency-calibrated lasers.

Electrons are typically described to the public as "orbiting" a central nucleus composed of protons and neutrons. What's less commonly understood is that the nucleus itself, mainly consisting of protons and neutrons, also exhibit internal orbital dynamics. While we are simplifying the physics involved, *perhaps by a great deal*, these internal dynamics do, in fact, express qualities you would recognize as orbital momentum and vector-based energy paths.

In stable, non-radioactive atoms, these nuclear particles (protons and neutrons) maintain consistent, balanced orbits. In radioactive atoms, however, there exist additional particles that are not in stable orbits. These unstable particles occasionally stray, for brief quantum moments, outside the bounds of the stable nuclear core structure. When this happens, those particles are more likely to escape entirely. When such an escape occurs, the atom emits radiation, detectable, for example, by a Geiger counter.

Over time, and through multiple such particle ejections, the radioactive atom eventually stabilizes into a non-radioactive one. This is a natural process, and it can take anywhere from fractions of a second to millions of years. Fortunately, there's a way to speed it up.

Hydrino production generates unique electromagnetic frequencies, frequencies that are currently difficult (or prohibitively expensive) to reproduce with your conventional technology. Conveniently, these naturally generated hydrino frequencies have the potential to resonate with the frequencies of particles exhibiting unstable nuclear orbits - radioactive particles.

When properly tuned, these frequencies interact with unstable core particles during their brief excursions outside the nuclear stability boundary. The resonance causes the particle to absorb more energy, pushing it farther out into an even more unstable trajectory — until, finally, it "pops out" of the nucleus entirely. Repeated enough times, this process can render the atom non-radioactive by removing all of its unstable components.

Importantly, hydrino-generated frequencies tend to be lower than the frequencies associated directly within the stable cores of atoms, thus making the process selective and less likely to disturb the stability of non-radioactive nuclear cores.

This opens the door not only to large-scale nuclear waste remediation, but also (with more finesse) to elemental transmutation — yes, even the fabled conversion of lead into gold. Of course, converting lead into gold is a bit more complicated. First, you would need to bombard lead atoms with a specific number of excess neutrons, making the nuclear core radioactive. Then, by applying carefully tuned hydrino frequencies at the nuclear core, you reverse the process involving the ejection of the recently added unstable neutrons - and two stable protons - proton ejection being a more delicate matter to finesse out of the core. If successful the element's atomic core will transform from lead into gold. It's tricky work. Best to practice first on reducing the size of your nuclear waste stockpiles before contemplating a more ambitious goal of feeding Fort Knox.

The choice is yours — should you wish to manifest such technologies into your shared collective reality ... or not.